Contractus Vol. 01 No. 1 (2026): 8-16
ISSN: xxxx-xxxx (Cetak)
E-ISSN: xxxx-xxxx (*Online*)

Legal Certainty and Risk Allocation in Cross Border Investment Contracts

Toti Valentino Putra[1]*
[1]Universitas Narotama, Indonesia

corresponding email only: tvalent918@gmail.com

Article Information
Article History
Submitted: 04-01-2026
Received: 12-01-2026
Accepted: 26-02-2026

Keywords:
Legal Certainty; Cross Border Investment; Investment Contracts; Risk Allocation; International Investment Law; Dispute Resolution

Abstract
Cross-border investment contracts play an essential role in facilitating international business by establishing legal frameworks that regulate the relationship between investors and host states or private parties, particularly in allocating commercial, political, financial, and regulatory risks. However, variations in national legal systems, changing regulatory policies, and complex jurisdictional issues often create legal uncertainty that may negatively affect contractual stability and investor confidence. This study explores the relationship between legal certainty and risk allocation in cross-border investment contracts and examines how contractual mechanisms can balance the interests of contracting parties across different legal jurisdictions. Using a normative legal research method with statutory, comparative, and conceptual approaches, the study analyzes international investment principles, domestic investment regulations, and legal doctrines related to contractual obligations, party autonomy, risk allocation, and dispute resolution. The research finds that legal certainty is achieved through a combination of well-drafted contractual provisions, predictable legal frameworks, effective dispute settlement mechanisms, and consistent judicial interpretation. Furthermore, the appropriate allocation of risks, including political, commercial, financial, and regulatory risks, contributes to maintaining contractual stability and minimizing the possibility of disputes. The study concludes that clear risk allocation clauses supported by reliable legal and institutional frameworks are crucial for strengthening investor protection, increasing confidence in cross-border transactions, and promoting sustainable international investment.

Introduction

The Cross border investment has become a fundamental driver of global economic development by facilitating the movement of capital, technology, and business expertise across national boundaries. As international investment transactions increasingly involve parties from different legal systems, investment contracts have become the principal instruments governing the rights, obligations, and expectations of investors and host states or private entities.[1] In this context, legal certainty plays a critical role in ensuring contractual stability, reducing investment risks, and fostering a predictable business environment capable of supporting sustainable economic growth.[2]

Despite the growing sophistication of international investment agreements, cross-border investment contracts continue to face significant legal challenges arising from differences in domestic legal systems, regulatory changes, jurisdictional conflicts, and the enforcement of contractual obligations. Political instability, unexpected legislative reforms, and inconsistent judicial interpretation may substantially alter the allocation of risks originally agreed upon by the contracting parties.[3] Consequently, effective contractual risk allocation has become an essential mechanism for protecting commercial interests while preserving fairness and legal certainty throughout the investment relationship.

Previous studies have examined cross-border investment from the perspectives of international investment law, investor protection, and dispute settlement mechanisms. Other scholars have explored contractual risk allocation in commercial transactions and the role of arbitration in resolving international investment disputes. However, relatively limited attention has been devoted to analysing the relationship between legal certainty and contractual risk allocation as complementary mechanisms for maintaining the stability and enforceability of cross-border investment contracts across different legal jurisdictions. This gap is increasingly relevant as investors face growing regulatory uncertainty and rapidly evolving global investment environments.

---

[1] Carolina Moehlecke and Rachel L. Wellhausen, "Political Risk and International Investment Law," *Annual Review of Political Science*, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-051120-014429; Axèle Giroud, "World Investment Report 2023: Investing in Sustainable Energy for All," *Journal of International Business Policy* 7, no. 1 (2024), https://doi.org/10.1057/s42214-023-00178-9.

[2] Caroline Henckels, "Justifying the Protection of Legitimate Expectations in International Investment Law: Legal Certainty and Arbitrary Conduct," *ICSID Review*, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1093/icsidreview/siac027.

[3] Zarina Rashid and Shahir Rashid, "Political Instability Causes & Affects," *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences* 12, no. 1 (2024), https://doi.org/10.52131/pjhss.2024.v12i1.1935; Lena Maria Möller, "Federalism and Family Law in the United Arab Emirates: Untangling Conflict of Laws and Conflicts of Jurisdiction," *Arab Law Quarterly*, 2025, https://doi.org/10.1163/15730255-bja10196; Ahmed Aubais Alfatlawi and Hassan Sudi Alziyadi, "The Iraqi Penal Framework vs. Shariah: Jurisprudential Insights on Digital Public Morals Crimes," *Manchester Journal of Transnational Islamic Law and Practice* 21, no. 2 (2025).

Accordingly, this study examines how legal certainty influences the allocation of risks in cross-border investment contracts and evaluates the effectiveness of contractual mechanisms in balancing the interests of contracting parties. By integrating principles of international investment law, contract law, and dispute resolution, this study argues that clear contractual risk allocation, supported by predictable legal frameworks and effective enforcement mechanisms, is essential for strengthening investor confidence and ensuring the long-term sustainability of international investment relationships.

Methods

This study employs a normative legal research method[4] to examine the relationship between legal certainty and risk allocation in cross-border investment contracts. The research adopts statutory, comparative, and conceptual approaches. The statutory approach analyzes relevant legal instruments governing international investment and commercial contracts, including domestic investment legislation, international investment agreements, and internationally recognized legal principles applicable to cross-border transactions. The comparative approach evaluates the legal frameworks and contractual practices adopted in different jurisdictions to identify common standards and approaches to risk allocation. The conceptual approach examines legal doctrines relating to legal certainty, party autonomy, contractual risk allocation, and dispute resolution in international investment law. Primary legal materials consist of legislation, international treaties, model legal instruments, and judicial or arbitral decisions, while secondary legal materials include scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, and legal commentaries. All legal materials were collected through library research and analyzed qualitatively using grammatical, systematic, comparative, and teleological interpretation to assess how legal certainty and contractual risk allocation contribute to the stability, enforceability, and effectiveness of cross-border investment contracts.

Results and Discussion

1. Legal Certainty as the Foundation of Cross Border Investment Contracts

The legal certainty constitutes one of the fundamental principles underlying cross border investment contracts because it enables investors and host states to clearly understand their respective rights and obligations

[4] Peter Mahmud Marzuki, *Penelitian Hukum* (Jakarta: Kencana, 2017).

throughout the contractual relationship. Unlike domestic commercial transactions, cross border investments are governed by multiple legal systems, creating potential conflicts of law, jurisdictional uncertainty, and differences in regulatory standards.[5] Consequently, investors require a predictable legal environment in which contractual obligations can be enforced consistently regardless of changes in political or economic conditions.[6] Stable legal frameworks not only reduce transactional uncertainty but also encourage foreign direct investment by increasing confidence in the host state's legal and judicial institutions.

The effectiveness of legal certainty depends not only on comprehensive legislation but also on the consistency of judicial interpretation and the enforceability of contractual provisions.[7] Sudden regulatory changes, inconsistent court decisions, or conflicting interpretations of contractual clauses may significantly alter the balance of rights and obligations originally negotiated by the parties.[8] Accordingly, investment contracts frequently incorporate stabilization clauses, governing law provisions, and dispute resolution mechanisms to preserve contractual stability despite changes in domestic legislation.[9] These contractual devices strengthen investor confidence by reducing legal uncertainty while respecting the regulatory authority of host states.

2. Risk Allocation in Cross-Border Investment Contracts

---

[5] Ismaidar Ismaidar, Kevin Maisyan Rizaldi Mendrofa, and Angga Sahputra Sirait, “Analisis Risiko Hukum Dalam Kontrak Bisnis Internasional Oleh Korporasi,” *Aliansi: Jurnal Hukum, Pendidikan Dan Sosial Humaniora* 2, no. 3 (2025), https://doi.org/10.62383/aliansi.v2i3.937.

[6] Kun Fan, “Arbitrator’s Contract,” in *Cambridge Compendium of International Commercial and Investment Arbitration*, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108304467.033; Achmad Hariri and Basuki Babussalam, “Legal Pluralism: Concept, Theoretical Dialectics, and Its Existence in Indonesia,” *Walisongo Law Review (Walrev)* 6, no. 2 (2024), https://doi.org/10.21580/walrev.2024.6.2.25566.

[7] Marek Sobczyk, “Enforceability of a Claim for Payment of a Contractual Penalty as a Condition for the Admissibility of a Set-Off: Remarks from the Perspective of a Legal Historian,” *Prawo i Wiez* 51, no. 4 (2024), https://doi.org/10.36128/PRIW.VI51.784; Faadhil Adams, “The Distinction Between The Governing Law And The Incorporation By Reference Of Contractual Terms In International Contracts,” *South African Law Journal* 142, no. 4 (2025), https://doi.org/10.47348/SALJ/v142/i4a6; Iyus Suryana, “Construction of Judicial Interpretation In Indonesia’s Criminal Justice System Regarding The Implementation of The New Penal Code,” *Indonesian Journal of Law and Justice* 2, no. 4 (2025), https://doi.org/10.47134/ijlj.v2i4.3853.

[8] Mikołaj Pietrzyk, “In Search of a ‘Happy Ending’ in Legal Interpretation: Cognitive Dissonance in Judicial Decision-Making,” *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law* 38, no. 5 (2025), https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-025-10247-2.

[9] A. V. Golysheva and V. G. Golyshev, “About Selected Aspects of Application by Courts of the Norms of Civil Legislation on Rent,” *Moscow Juridical Journal*, no. 3 (2023), https://doi.org/10.18384/2949-513x-2023-3-56-61.

Addressing Risk allocation is an essential component of cross border investment contracts because international investments inevitably involve commercial, political, financial, and regulatory uncertainties.[10] Effective contracts distribute these risks according to the bargaining position, expertise, and capacity of each party to manage potential losses. Commercial risks commonly relate to market fluctuations, payment obligations, and project performance, whereas political and regulatory risks arise from legislative reforms, expropriation, taxation policies, currency restrictions, or changes in government policy. The allocation of these risks through carefully negotiated contractual provisions contributes to greater commercial certainty and minimizes the likelihood of future disputes.

Modern investment contracts employ various contractual mechanisms to allocate risks effectively, including force majeure clauses, hardship provisions, change in law clauses, stabilization clauses, limitation of liability provisions, and indemnity agreements.[11] These clauses enable contracting parties to anticipate unforeseen circumstances while maintaining contractual equilibrium. Rather than eliminating risk entirely, contractual risk allocation provides a structured legal framework through which risks can be managed fairly and predictably. Consequently, well drafted contracts serve not only as instruments of commercial cooperation but also as preventive mechanisms for reducing legal disputes in international investment transactions.

3. Strengthening Contractual Stability through Effective Dispute Resolution

Addressing The effectiveness of legal certainty and contractual risk allocation ultimately depends on the availability of reliable dispute resolution mechanisms. Because cross border investment disputes often involve parties from different jurisdictions, litigation before domestic courts may raise concerns regarding neutrality, enforceability, and procedural efficiency.[12] As a result, many international investment contracts designate international arbitration as the preferred mechanism for resolving disputes due to its

[10] Amos Omolo, "Legal and Economic Implications of International Trade Agreements on Investments Dispute Resolution," *International Journal of Law and Society* 8, no. 4 (2025), https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijls.20250804.13.

[11] Andrew D. Mitchell and James Munro, "An International Law Principle Of Non-Regression From Environmental Protections," *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 72, no. 1 (2023), https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589322000483.

[12] Asst. Lect. Hussein Fahem Hadi, "Arbitration in International Investment Contract Disputes," *International Journal Of Law And Criminology* 05, no. 05 (2025), https://doi.org/10.37547/ijlc/volume05issue05-02.

neutrality, procedural flexibility, and the global enforceability of arbitral awards under international conventions.[13]

Beyond dispute settlement, the integration of clear contractual drafting with effective legal institutions contributes significantly to the long term stability of cross border investment relationships. Transparent legal frameworks, predictable regulatory policies, and independent judicial or arbitral institutions reinforce the credibility of contractual commitments while balancing investor protection with the sovereign right of states to regulate in the public interest. Therefore, legal certainty should be understood as a dynamic interaction between contractual autonomy, institutional effectiveness, and the consistent application of legal principles. Such an approach enhances investment confidence and supports sustainable international economic cooperation.

Conclusions

This study concludes that legal certainty and effective risk allocation are mutually reinforcing elements in the successful implementation of cross border investment contracts. Legal certainty provides the predictability necessary for investors and host states to enforce contractual rights and obligations, while carefully negotiated risk allocation mechanisms enable parties to manage commercial, political, financial, and regulatory uncertainties inherent in international investment. The findings demonstrate that contractual stability cannot be achieved solely through comprehensive contractual provisions but also requires coherent legal frameworks, consistent judicial and arbitral interpretation, and effective dispute resolution mechanisms. Accordingly, the integration of clear contractual drafting with transparent regulatory policies and reliable legal institutions strengthens investor confidence, reduces the likelihood of cross-border disputes, and promotes sustainable international investment. This study therefore emphasizes that balancing contractual autonomy with legal certainty is essential for ensuring the long term effectiveness and enforceability of cross-border investment contracts within an increasingly complex global legal environment.

Acknowledgments

[13] Islam Yerniyazov, "Interaction Between International Construction Contracts And Investment Treaties: Opportunities For Harmonizing Commercial And Public Interests," *Review of Law Sciences* 7, no. 3 (2023), https://doi.org/10.51788/tsul.rols.2023.7.3./skag1952; Hamid Reza Younesi, "The Implementation of Relational Contract Theory in International Investment Contracts," *International Trade Law and Regulation* 27, no. 4 (2021).

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Faculty of Law, Universitas Narotama, Surabaya, Indonesia, for its continuous academic support and the research facilities provided throughout this study. The authors also acknowledge the valuable contributions of colleagues and legal scholars whose discussions and constructive insights have enriched the analysis of international investment law and cross-border commercial transactions presented in this article. Special appreciation is extended to the anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful comments and recommendations, which have substantially improved the quality and clarity of this manuscript. Any remaining errors or interpretations are solely the responsibility of the authors.

Funding

No funding was received for this study.

Reference

Achmad Hariri, and Basuki Babussalam. "Legal Pluralism: Concept, Theoretical Dialectics, and Its Existence in Indonesia." *Walisongo Law Review (Walrev)* 6, no. 2 (2024). https://doi.org/10.21580/walrev.2024.6.2.25566.

Adams, Faadhil. "The Distinction Between The Governing Law And The Incorporation By Reference Of Contractual Terms In International Contracts." *South African Law Journal* 142, no. 4 (2025). https://doi.org/10.47348/SALJ/v142/i4a6.

Alfatlawi, Ahmed Aubais, and Hassan Sudi Alziyadi. "The Iraqi Penal Framework vs. Shariah: Jurisprudential Insights on Digital Public Morals Crimes." *Manchester Journal of Transnational Islamic Law and Practice* 21, no. 2 (2025).

Fahem Hadi, Asst. Lect. Hussein. "Arbitration in International Investment Contract Disputes." *International Journal Of Law And Criminology* 05, no. 05 (2025). https://doi.org/10.37547/ijlc/volume05issue05-02.

Fan, Kun. "Arbitrator's Contract." In *Cambridge Compendium of International Commercial and Investment Arbitration*, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108304467.033.

Giroud, Axèle. "World Investment Report 2023: Investing in Sustainable Energy for All." *Journal of International Business Policy* 7, no. 1 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1057/s42214-023-00178-9.

Golysheva, A. V., and V. G. Golyshev. "About Selected Aspects of Application by Courts of the Norms of Civil Legislation on Rent." *Moscow Juridical Journal*, no. 3 (2023). https://doi.org/10.18384/2949-513x-2023-3-56-

61.

Henckels, Caroline. "Justifying the Protection of Legitimate Expectations in International Investment Law: Legal Certainty and Arbitrary Conduct." *ICSID Review*, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1093/icsidreview/siac027.

Ismaidar Ismaidar, Kevin Maisyan Rizaldi Mendrofa, and Angga Sahputra Sirait. "Analisis Risiko Hukum Dalam Kontrak Bisnis Internasional Oleh Korporasi." *Aliansi: Jurnal Hukum, Pendidikan Dan Sosial Humaniora* 2, no. 3 (2025). https://doi.org/10.62383/aliansi.v2i3.937.

Marzuki, Peter Mahmud. *Penelitian Hukum*. Jakarta: Kencana, 2017.

Mitchell, Andrew D., and James Munro. "An International Law Principle Of Non-Regression From Environmental Protections." *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 72, no. 1 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589322000483.

Moehlecke, Carolina, and Rachel L. Wellhausen. "Political Risk and International Investment Law." *Annual Review of Political Science*, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-051120-014429.

Möller, Lena Maria. "Federalism and Family Law in the United Arab Emirates: Untangling Conflict of Laws and Conflicts of Jurisdiction." *Arab Law Quarterly*, 2025. https://doi.org/10.1163/15730255-bja10196.

Omolo, Amos. "Legal and Economic Implications of International Trade Agreements on Investments Dispute Resolution." *International Journal of Law and Society* 8, no. 4 (2025). https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijls.20250804.13.

Pietrzyk, Mikołaj. "In Search of a 'Happy Ending' in Legal Interpretation: Cognitive Dissonance in Judicial Decision-Making." *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law* 38, no. 5 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-025-10247-2.

Rashid, Zarina, and Shahir Rashid. "Political Instability Causes & Affects." *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences* 12, no. 1 (2024). https://doi.org/10.52131/pjhss.2024.v12i1.1935.

Sobczyk, Marek. "Enforceability of a Claim for Payment of a Contractual Penalty as a Condition for the Admissibility of a Set-Off: Remarks from the Perspective of a Legal Historian." *Prawo i Wiez* 51, no. 4 (2024). https://doi.org/10.36128/PRIW.VI51.784.

Suryana, Iyus. "Construction of Judicial Interpretation In Indonesia's Criminal Justice System Regarding The Implementation of The New Penal Code." *Indonesian Journal of Law and Justice* 2, no. 4 (2025). https://doi.org/10.47134/ijlj.v2i4.3853.

Yerniyazov, Islam. "Interaction Between International Construction Contracts And Investment Treaties: Opportunities For Harmonizing Commercial

And Public Interests." *Review of Law Sciences* 7, no. 3 (2023). https://doi.org/10.51788/tsul.rols.2023.7.3./skag1952.

Younesi, Hamid Reza. "The Implementation of Relational Contract Theory in International Investment Contracts." *International Trade Law and Regulation* 27, no. 4 (2021).