

Balancing Freedom of Contract and Consumer Protection in Digital Markets

Sabrina Ayu Anggraeni^{1*}

¹Universitas Narotama, Indonesia

corresponding email only: binaaa225.ayu@gmail.com

Article Information

Article History

Submitted: 10-01-2026

Received: 20-01-2026

Accepted: 16-02-2026

Keywords:

Freedom Of Contract;
Consumer Protection;
Digital Markets; Electronic
Contracts; Digital
Commerce; Contract Law

Abstract

The rapid expansion of digital markets has significantly changed contractual relationships between businesses and consumers, creating challenges for the traditional principle of freedom of contract. Although digital platforms rely on standardized online agreements to support efficient transactions, the use of nonnegotiable terms, algorithm-driven services, and extensive data practices raises concerns about contractual fairness, transparency, and consumer protection. This study examines the relationship between freedom of contract and consumer protection in digital markets through a normative legal research method using statutory, comparative, and conceptual approaches. The research analyzes contract law principles, consumer protection regulations, digital commerce frameworks, and legal doctrines related to electronic contracts and digital platforms. The findings indicate that freedom of contract remains a fundamental principle but cannot be applied absolutely in digital markets due to information asymmetry, unequal bargaining power, and the dominance of digital platforms. Therefore, achieving a balance between contractual freedom and consumer protection requires transparent contractual practices, effective regulatory oversight, and flexible legal frameworks that ensure fairness while supporting innovation and legal certainty.

Introduction

The rapid expansion of digital markets has transformed the way businesses and consumers enter into contractual relationships. Online platforms, electronic commerce, and digital services increasingly rely on standardized electronic contracts that facilitate efficient transactions but often leave consumers with little opportunity to negotiate contractual terms.¹

¹ Kiara Butler, "Protecting All Parties In Compensated Gestational Surrogacy Agreements: Adopting The New York State (Of Mind) Approach," *Wisconsin Law Review* 2023, no. 1 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4238039>; Mohammadreza Valaei and Vahid Khodakarami, "A Framework for Valuation and Portfolio Optimization of Venture Capital

As a result, the traditional principle of freedom of contract faces new challenges in ensuring fairness within digital commercial relationships.

Freedom of contract remains a fundamental principle of private law, allowing parties to determine their contractual rights and obligations.² However, digital markets are characterized by information asymmetry, unequal bargaining power, and the widespread use of standard form contracts, which may place consumers in a weaker legal position.³ These conditions have prompted many jurisdictions to strengthen consumer protection through rules on unfair contract terms, transparency, and digital platform accountability while seeking to preserve commercial innovation and contractual autonomy.

Previous studies have examined electronic contracts, consumer rights, and digital commerce separately. However, limited attention has been given to how freedom of contract and consumer protection can be balanced within the evolving digital marketplace.⁴ This study addresses that gap by examining the interaction between contractual autonomy and consumer protection in digital markets. It argues that effective legal protection requires not only respect for the principle of freedom of contract but also appropriate regulatory safeguards that ensure fairness, transparency, and legal certainty in digital transactions.

Methods

This study employs a normative legal research method to examine the relationship between the principle of freedom of contract and consumer protection in digital markets. The research adopts statutory, comparative, and conceptual approaches. The statutory approach analyzes legislation

Deals with Contractual Terms,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* 2024 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/3427721>.

² James Gordley, “Freedom of Contract,” in *Research Handbook on the Philosophy of Contract Law*, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800885417.00018>.

³ Z. A. Istianah et al., “Freedom Of Contract And Judicial Intervention: Does The Court Have The Right?,” *Revista Opinião Juridica* 21, no. 36 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.12662/2447-6641oj.v21i36.p205-221.2023>; Suryadi et al., “Inconsistency in Freedom of Contract for Banking Dispute Resolution in Indonesia,” *Legality: Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum* 32, no. 2 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.22219/ljih.v32i2.33121>.

⁴ Ahadiast Agustav Putra, Kurniawan Kurniawan, and Hirsanuddin Hirsanuddin, “Implementation of Legal Protection for Consumer Rights in Business Transactions via E-Commerce Platforms,” *Journal of Law and Regulation Governance* 2, no. 2 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.57185/jlarg.v2i2.39>; SAKTI ALAMSYAH and FAUZIE YUSUF HASIBUAN, “Legal Protection For Consumers In E-Commerce Transactions In Indonesia: Contract Validity And Dispute Resolution Effectiveness,” *PENA LAW: International Journal of Law* 3, no. 1 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.56107/penalaw.v3i1.220>; Lina Maulidiana, Idham Idham, and Lenny Nadriana, “Contract Law in E-Commerce Perspective and Its Implications for Consumers,” *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education* 6, no. 1 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.56442/ijble.v6i1.1076>.

governing contract law, consumer protection, electronic commerce, and digital transactions, together with relevant international legal instruments. The comparative approach examines regulatory developments across selected jurisdictions to identify legal approaches for balancing contractual autonomy with consumer rights in digital markets. The conceptual approach explores legal doctrines relating to freedom of contract, consumer protection, unfair contract terms, transparency, and digital platform regulation. Primary legal materials consist of legislation, judicial decisions, and international legal instruments, while secondary legal materials include scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, and legal commentaries. These materials were collected through library research and analyzed qualitatively using grammatical, systematic, comparative, and teleological interpretation to evaluate the effectiveness of existing legal frameworks in ensuring fairness, legal certainty, and consumer protection without undermining contractual freedom in the digital economy.

Results and Discussion

1. Freedom of Contract in the Digital Economy

The Freedom of contract has traditionally served as a fundamental principle of private law, allowing parties to determine the content of their agreements according to their mutual interests.⁵ In digital markets, however, contractual relationships are predominantly formed through electronic standard form agreements, commonly known as click wrap or browse wrap contracts.⁶ Although these contracts enable efficient and rapid transactions, consumers generally have no meaningful opportunity to negotiate their terms. Instead, acceptance is often limited to a binary choice of accepting or rejecting the agreement, reducing contractual autonomy in practice. This development illustrates that contractual freedom in digital commerce increasingly reflects procedural consent rather than genuine bargaining between equal parties.⁷

⁵ Cintia Rosa Pereira de Lima, "Adhesion Electronic Contracts ('Shrink-Wrap' and 'Click-Wrap') and the Terms of Use ('Browse-Wrap')," *Brazilian Journal of Law, Technology and Innovation* 1, no. 2 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.59224/bjlti.v1i2.108-153>.

⁶ Yohanes Jeriko Giovanni et al., "Hak-Hak Pengguna Dalam Click-Wrap Agreements: Prinsip Quasi Ex Contractu Sebagai Alternatif Perlindungan Hukum," *Widya Yuridika* 7, no. 3 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.31328/wy.v7i3.5201>; Imelda Martinelli et al., "Penggunaan Click-Wrap Agreement Pada E-Commerce: Tinjauan Terhadap Keabsahannya Sebagai Bentuk Perjanjian Elektronik," *Jurnal Supremasi*, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.35457/supremasi.v14i1.2797>.

⁷ Mateja Durovic and Chris Willett, "A Legal Framework for Using Smart Contracts in Consumer Contracts: Machines as Servants, Not Masters," *Modern Law Review* 86, no. 6 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.12817>; Kyeong Baek Kim et al., "A Pilot Study on Developing Smart Contracts in the Construction Industry: Establishing Strategies for Improving Standard Contract Terms in the Korean Construction Engineering Industry," *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering* 29, no. 12 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kscej.2025.100289>.

The expansion of digital platforms has further transformed contractual relationships through the extensive use of algorithms, automated decision making, and data driven business models. Digital service providers frequently reserve broad discretionary powers regarding pricing, service modification, account suspension, and the processing of personal data. While these mechanisms improve operational efficiency, they may also create contractual imbalances that disadvantage consumers.⁸ Consequently, the principle of freedom of contract can no longer be interpreted as an absolute right but must be exercised consistently with broader legal principles of fairness, transparency, and good faith in digital transactions.

2. Consumer Protection as a Limitation on Contractual Freedom

Addressing Consumer protection law functions as an essential corrective mechanism to address the imbalance of bargaining power commonly found in digital markets. Unlike conventional commercial contracts, digital agreements often involve standardized clauses drafted unilaterally by platform operators, leaving consumers with limited ability to understand or negotiate contractual obligations.⁹ Regulatory intervention therefore seeks to ensure that contractual terms remain fair, transparent, and accessible while preventing the inclusion of unfair terms that may unreasonably disadvantage consumers.

Modern consumer protection frameworks increasingly require digital businesses to provide clear information regarding contractual obligations, pricing mechanisms, dispute resolution procedures, and the processing of personal data.¹⁰ These obligations strengthen consumer confidence by improving transparency and reducing information asymmetry. At the same

⁸ Longyin Yang, "Cyber Violence Governance on Digital Platforms: Criminal Compliance and Public-Private Co-Governance," *Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences* 33 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.54097/tetr2333>; Konrad Degen and Alexander Gleiss, "Time to Break up? The Case for Tailor-Made Digital Platform Regulation Based on Platform-Governance Standard Types," *Electronic Markets* 35, no. 1 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-024-00747-7>.

⁹ Hulman Panjaitan et al., "Strengthening Consumer Protection In Digital Transactions: A Legal Perspective On Click-Wrap Agreements Under The Consumer Protection Law," *Jurnal Hukum Unissula* 41, no. 3 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.26532/jh.41.3.666-693>; Nabilah Luthfiyah Chusnida, "Click-Wrap Agreement: Pengalihan Tanggungjawab Dalam Melindungi Konsumen," *PROGRESIF: Jurnal Hukum* 17, no. 2 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.33019/progresif.v17i2.4368>.

¹⁰ FARIHANA ABDUL RAZAK Et al., "Unfair Contract Terms In Online Contracts: Special Reference To Online Booking Of Flight Tickets," *Psychology and Education Journal* 58, no. 2 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.17762/pae.v58i2.2317>; Pinai Nanakorn, "Comparative Analysis of Deficiencies in the Law on Unfair Contract Terms in Thailand and Practical Ways for Amendment," *Global Journal of Comparative Law* 12, no. 1 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1163/2211906X-12010005>.

time, legal restrictions on unfair contract terms do not eliminate the principle of freedom of contract; rather, they redefine its operation by ensuring that contractual autonomy is exercised within reasonable legal boundaries that protect weaker parties without unnecessarily restricting commercial activity.

3. Achieving a Balance between Contractual Autonomy and Consumer Protection

The findings indicate that an effective legal framework for digital markets should not prioritize either contractual freedom or consumer protection in isolation. Instead, both principles should operate as complementary elements that promote fairness, legal certainty, and market efficiency.¹¹ Businesses require sufficient contractual flexibility to innovate and respond to technological developments, whereas consumers require legal safeguards that prevent unfair contractual practices and ensure informed consent in digital transactions. A balanced legal approach therefore strengthens both commercial certainty and consumer trust.

Achieving this balance requires coherent legislation, effective regulatory supervision, and consistent judicial interpretation. Transparent contractual drafting, meaningful disclosure obligations, and accessible dispute resolution mechanisms are essential to reducing legal uncertainty while preserving contractual autonomy.¹² As digital markets continue to evolve, legal systems must adopt adaptive regulatory approaches capable of responding to emerging technologies without undermining innovation or weakening consumer rights. Accordingly, balancing freedom of contract and consumer protection is fundamental to creating a sustainable and trustworthy digital marketplace.

Conclusions

This study concludes that the principle of freedom of contract remains a fundamental element of private law but cannot operate without limitations in the context of digital markets. The widespread use of standardized electronic contracts, information asymmetry, and the dominant position of digital platforms have weakened consumers' ability to negotiate contractual terms,

¹¹ Risfiana Mayang Sari, "Consumer Protection in Muamalah Transactions," *Jurnal Ilmiah Mizani* 11, no. 1 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.29300/mzn.v11i1.4958>; Stefan Koos, "Artificial Intelligence as Disruption Factor in the Civil Law: Impact of the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Liability, Contracting, Competition Law and Consumer Protection with Particular Reference to the German and Indonesian Legal Situation," *Yuridika* 36, no. 1 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.20473/ydk.v36i1.24033>.

¹² Damian Eke and Bernd Stahl, "Ethics in the Governance of Data and Digital Technology: An Analysis of European Data Regulations and Policies," *Digital Society* 3, no. 1 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44206-024-00101-6>.

thereby justifying greater legal protection. The findings demonstrate that consumer protection should not be viewed as a restriction on contractual autonomy but as a mechanism for ensuring fairness, transparency, and legal certainty in digital transactions. Achieving an appropriate balance requires clear contractual terms, effective regulatory oversight, and consistent judicial interpretation that protect consumers while preserving commercial flexibility and technological innovation. Accordingly, adaptive legal frameworks capable of responding to the evolving characteristics of digital commerce are essential for maintaining both market efficiency and public confidence in the digital economy.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Faculty of Law, Universitas Narotama, Surabaya, Indonesia, for its continuous academic support and the research facilities provided throughout this study. The authors also acknowledge the valuable contributions of colleagues and legal scholars whose constructive discussions and insightful comments enriched the analysis of contract law, consumer protection, and digital market regulation presented in this article. Special appreciation is extended to the anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful suggestions, which significantly improved the quality and clarity of this manuscript. Any remaining errors or interpretations are solely the responsibility of the authors.

Funding

No funding was received for this study.

Reference

- ALAMSYAH, SAKTI, and FAUZIE YUSUF HASIBUAN. "Legal Protection For Consumers In E-Commerce Transactions In Indonesia: Contract Validity And Dispute Resolution Effectiveness." *PENA LAW: International Journal of Law* 3, no. 1 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.56107/penalaw.v3i1.220>.
- Butler, Kiara. "Protecting All Parties In Compensated Gestational Surrogacy Agreements: Adopting The New York State (Of Mind) Approach." *Wisconsin Law Review* 2023, no. 1 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4238039>.
- Chusnida, Nabilah Luthfiyah. "Click-Wrap Agreement: Pengalihan Tanggungjawab Dalam Melindungi Konsumen." *PROGRESIF: Jurnal Hukum* 17, no. 2 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.33019/progresif.v17i2.4368>.
- Degen, Konrad, and Alexander Gleiss. "Time to Break up? The Case for Tailor-Made Digital Platform Regulation Based on Platform-Governance

- Standard Types.” *Electronic Markets* 35, no. 1 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-024-00747-7>.
- Durovic, Mateja, and Chris Willett. “A Legal Framework for Using Smart Contracts in Consumer Contracts: Machines as Servants, Not Masters.” *Modern Law Review* 86, no. 6 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.12817>.
- Eke, Damian, and Bernd Stahl. “Ethics in the Governance of Data and Digital Technology: An Analysis of European Data Regulations and Policies.” *Digital Society* 3, no. 1 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44206-024-00101-6>.
- Et al., FARIHANA ABDUL RAZAK. “Unfair Contract Terms In Online Contracts: Special Reference To Online Booking Of Flight Tickets.” *Psychology and Education Journal* 58, no. 2 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.17762/pae.v58i2.2317>.
- Giovanni, Yohanes Jeriko, Imelda Martinelli Martinelli, Joshua Steven Ongaran, and Richard Jemiel Heng. “Hak-Hak Pengguna Dalam Click-Wrap Agreements: Prinsip Quasi Ex Contractu Sebagai Alternatif Perlindungan Hukum.” *Widya Yuridika* 7, no. 3 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.31328/wy.v7i3.5201>.
- Gordley, James. “Freedom of Contract.” In *Research Handbook on the Philosophy of Contract Law*, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800885417.00018>.
- Istianah, Z. A., M. Khaeruddin Hamsin, Rizaldy Anggriawan, and Andi Agus Salim. “Freedom Of Contract And Judicial Intervention: Does The Court Have The Right?” *Revista Opiniao Juridica* 21, no. 36 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.12662/2447-6641oj.v21i36.p205-221.2023>.
- Kim, Kyeong Baek, Chung Suk Cho, Dong Jun Kim, and Sang Bum Kim. “A Pilot Study on Developing Smart Contracts in the Construction Industry: Establishing Strategies for Improving Standard Contract Terms in the Korean Construction Engineering Industry.” *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering* 29, no. 12 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kscej.2025.100289>.
- Koos, Stefan. “Artificial Intelligence as Disruption Factor in the Civil Law: Impact of the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Liability, Contracting, Competition Law and Consumer Protection with Particular Reference to the German and Indonesian Legal Situation.” *Yuridika* 36, no. 1 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.20473/ydk.v36i1.24033>.
- Lima, Cíntia Rosa Pereira de. “Adhesion Electronic Contracts (‘Shrink-Wrap’ and ‘Click-Wrap’) and the Terms of Use (‘Browse-Wrap’).” *Brazilian Journal of Law, Technology and Innovation* 1, no. 2 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.59224/bjlti.v1i2.108-153>.

- Martinelli, Imelda, Satria Hadi Wibowo, Gertrud Felita Maheswari Andreas, and Majolica Ocarina Fae. "Penggunaan Click-Wrap Agreement Pada E-Commerce: Tinjauan Terhadap Keabsahannya Sebagai Bentuk Perjanjian Elektronik." *Jurnal Supremasi*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.35457/supremasi.v14i1.2797>.
- Maulidiana, Lina, Idham Idham, and Lenny Nadriana. "Contract Law in E-Commerce Perspective and Its Implications for Consumers." *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education* 6, no. 1 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.56442/ijble.v6i1.1076>.
- Nanakorn, Pinai. "Comparative Analysis of Deficiencies in the Law on Unfair Contract Terms in Thailand and Practical Ways for Amendment." *Global Journal of Comparative Law* 12, no. 1 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1163/2211906X-12010005>.
- Panjaitan, Hulman, Junimart Girsang, Moermahadi Soerja Djanegara, and Md Hasnath Kabir Fahim. "Strengthening Consumer Protection In Digital Transactions: A Legal Perspective On Click-Wrap Agreements Under The Consumer Protection Law." *Jurnal Hukum Unissula* 41, no. 3 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.26532/jh.41.3.666-693>.
- Putra, Ahadiaz Agustav, Kurniawan Kurniawan, and Hirsanuddin Hirsanuddin. "Implementation of Legal Protection for Consumer Rights in Business Transactions via E-Commerce Platforms." *Journal of Law and Regulation Governance* 2, no. 2 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.57185/jlarg.v2i2.39>.
- Sari, Risfiana Mayang. "Consumer Protection in Muamalah Transactions." *Jurnal Ilmiah Mizani* 11, no. 1 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.29300/mzn.v11i1.4958>.
- Suryadi, Muhammad Habibi Miftakhul Marwa, Fauzan Muhammadi, Siti Zuliyah, and Megawati. "Inconsistency in Freedom of Contract for Banking Dispute Resolution in Indonesia." *Legality: Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum* 32, no. 2 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.22219/ljih.v32i2.33121>.
- Valaei, Mohammadreza, and Vahid Khodakarami. "A Framework for Valuation and Portfolio Optimization of Venture Capital Deals with Contractual Terms." *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* 2024 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/3427721>.
- Yang, Longyin. "Cyber Violence Governance on Digital Platforms: Criminal Compliance and Public-Private Co-Governance." *Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences* 33 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.54097/tetr2333>.