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ABSTRACT

Purpose: This paper aims to analyze the influence of web quality on the client’s e-banking adoption through the mediation of perceived ease of use.

Design/methodology/approach: The method used is statistic-descriptive and the design used is cross-sectional.

Findings: 3 out of 7 hypotheses are rejected while the other 4 is accepted.

Research limitations/implications: Variables considered in this study are perceived ease of use in technology acceptance model, web quality, accessibility, and internet banking adoption. The samples are a number of customers (60 respondents) in Bank Jatim Surabaya that were chosen using purposive sampling.

Practical implications: Results show that the hypotheses proposed are proven by the equation.

Originality/value: This paper is original.

Paper type: This paper can be categorized as a case study.

Keyword: Accessibility, Internet banking adoption, Perceived ease of use, Web quality

I. INTRODUCTION

Online banking has become one of the essential services in the banking industry nowadays. Many banks had made online banking service for their own in order to ease their customers. Bank Jatim Surabaya is one of the banks that provides such service and recorded from the internal data of the bank, there is significant raise of customers using e-banking. See the table below to see the statistics.

Online banking, or e-banking, had started to emerge as one of the facilities proposed by banks in order to ease the customer’s accessibility in doing transactions. Aside from easier access to their banking account, customer’s can also do faster transactions because the activity would only require by phone, which means that the customers could use their phone in order to do transactions—no longer going to the bank. Moreover, the emergence of online banking also triggers the increase in internet and mobile device connectivity in which related to the online usage of online banking facility (Sundaram, Thomas and Agilandeeswari, 2019).

Basing on that raise, it could be inferred that e-banking is indeed advantageous to the customers. However, there are also external factors that are involved in the process such as web quality and accessibility (Al-Ghaith, Sanzogni and Sandhu, 2010). Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is a popular model used in many studies in the process of technology information adoption including internet banking. According to TAM, user’s perceived ease of use is the main determiner of adoption behavior and lastly is by technology (Lin and Lu, 2011).
A good web quality could not only influence the customer’s transaction decision but also becomes one of the reasons for the customer to decide whether they will do a transaction or not (Huang and Benyoucef, 2013). This research aims to accommodate the gap in the previous studies by focusing on the web quality, system accessibility, customer’s perceived ease of use, and adoption will in internet banking.

A. Previous Study
There were previous studies that considered the factors above such as (Kusuma and Susilowati, 2007), (Isti Hanum, 2017), and (Fragata, 2010). Pratiwi had researched about the influence of trust, perceived ease of use, security, web service quality and e-banking adoption risk; among all the variables, only risk is the one with negative influence. There is also (Alalwan et al., 2018) that analyzed the factors influencing the customer in using internet banking; showing that comfort, accessibility, features providence, privacy, speed, fee, management, designs and contents could significantly influence the customer’s adoption will.

B. Hypotheses
H1 : Web Quality influence positively on Perceived Ease of Use
H2 : Accessibility influences positively on Perceived Ease of Use
H3 : Web Quality influences positively on customer’s e-banking Adoption
H4 : Accessibility influences positively on customer’s e-banking Adoption
H5 : Perceived of Use influences positively on customer’s e-banking Adoption
H5a : Web Quality influences positively on customer’s e-banking Adoption through Perceived Ease of Use
H5b : Accessibility influences positively on customer’s e-banking Adoption through Perceived Ease Use

II. METHODOLOGY
A. Research Design
This study is cross sectional in which the data collection from certain sample is implemented only once. This research is also a non-experimental research in which there is no manipulation in the variables analyzed because the manifestation already happened (Kerlinger and Lee, 2010).

B. Population and Sample
The population for this research is the customers of Bank Jatim Surabaya corporate which total is 479 company including small, middle, and big category. The samples are 60 respondents (5 x 12 indicators) from Bank Jatim Surabaya that are customers. The samples are collected using purposive sampling. There are also criterias needed for the samples which include: (a) Respondent is a customer that had became a customer for a year or more, (b) Respondent had adopt the e-banking service (because customer's that had adopt is assumed to be willingly adopt before), and (c) Respondent is living in Surabaya.

The data collection uses questionnaires which is filled with questions appropriate with the research’s variable. Scale value shows the respondents’ Agreement and Disagreement level towards the questions asked. The measurement scale of the five factors above reaches 5 scales that include: 1-Highly disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-More or less agree, 4-Agree, and 5-Highly agree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Users</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Corporate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5.654</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.203</td>
<td>27.40%</td>
<td>5.919</td>
<td>1.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>9.359</td>
<td>29.93%</td>
<td>6.712</td>
<td>2.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>11.254</td>
<td>20.25%</td>
<td>8.284</td>
<td>2.970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source : Bank Jatim’s Internal Data
C. Research Variable

X1 : Web Quality
It is the quality of the internet banking system and it involves fast access, easy navigation, also complete presentation on the site unity (Udo, Bagchi and Kirs, 2010). The look and structure of the site that could influence the customer’s interest in doing transactions. The look, content quality, specific content, and technical appropriateness are the indicators adapted from (Gregg and Walczak, 2010).

X2 : Accessibility
The ease and fast access of e-banking information system. The indicators are adapted from Cussoy et al. (2012) which include whenever and wherever the use of e-banking.

Z : Perceived Ease of Use
A phase in which the customer believes that the use of online banking could lower the effort of customers workload. The indicators are adapted from (Giovanis, Binioris and Polychronopoulos, 2012), which include the ease in the tutorial, the ease in using the internet banking to finish banking tasks, and the trust that internet banking is easy.

Y : E-banking Adoption Will
Refers to how high the customer wants to do internet banking. The indicators are also adapted from (Giovanis, Binioris and Polychronopoulos, 2012), which include the desire in internet banking transactions, the desire in using internet banking facility, and planning to use the internet banking.

D. Data Analysis Method
Partial Least Square will be used, in which the data that will be analyzed does not always have to fulfill the criteria stated. PLS is a non-parametric prediction and will include (1) an outer model that will be evaluated using convergent validity and its indicators, composite reliability for block indicator; and, (2) inner model in which is evaluated by looking at the percentage of variance explained, which is by looking at the R² (R Square) to construct latent dependent and measuring the coefficient of its structural track. Stability from this estimation is evaluated using t statistic test that is received through bootstrapping procedure. (Ghozali, 2018).

E. Hypotheses Evaluation
The hypotheses evaluation is also done by comparing the value of t statistics with t table. If the t statistics is higher than t table (1.96), that signs that there is significant influence between a variable towards other variables. On the other hand, if it is lower than that number, then there is no significant influence.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Partial Least Square Analysis

Reliability and Validity Test

1. Convergent Validity Test
Outer model evaluation using convergent validity of loading factor for each variables targeted by > 0.50. If the value is higher or is on the same value, it is considered an indicator, however, if it is not, it would not be considered as an indicator.

![Figure 1 - Outer Model](image-url)
According to the figure above, the variables has multiple indicators. These indicators are included if they fulfill the convergent validity of loading factor value of >0.7 for Web Quality, Accessibility, Perceived Ease of Use, and e-banking Adoption Will. The indicators include:

a. Web Quality (X1) by 4 indicators – X1.1= 0.847; X1.2= 0.844; X1.3= 0.740; X1.4= 0.841;

b. Accessibility (X2) by 2 indicators – X2.1= 0.933; X2.2= 0.864;

c. Perceived Ease of Use (Z) by 3 indicators – Z1= 0.850; Z2= 0.838; Z3= 0.794;

d. Adoption Will (Y) by 3 indicators – Y1= 0.822; Y2= 0.849; Y3= 0.814.

2. Discriminant Validity

The next evaluation is by comparing between discriminant validity and square root of average variance extracted (AVE), the measurement model is valued basing on cross loading with construct. If the construct correlation with every indicator is higher than other construct, then the laten construct predicts the indicator is better than other construct. If the value is higher than the correlation value between constructs, then a better discriminant validity will be reached (if the AVE is >0.7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. AVE criteria measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Quality (X1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility (X2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption Will (Y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Ease of Use (Z)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source : PLS

From the measurement above, it could be seen that only one variable that fits the standard in PLS 3.2.7 that has value more than > 0.7.

3. Composite Reliability Test

To decided on the composite reliability, the value >0.8 is considered high reliability and >0.6 is decent reliability. The result of test could be seen below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Composite reliability measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composite Reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Cronbach Alpha Test

This test is to strengthen the reliability test in which consistency of every answer is tested. The condition for this test is it will be considered good if α ≥0,6 and it will be considered decent if α ≥0,3. Below is the Cronbach test results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Cronbach Alpha measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cronbachs Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Inner Model

The value of R-square >0 shows model has predictive relevance, however, if it shows ≤0 then it means that the model does not have enough predictive relevance. Below is the table for the inner model using PLS.
The R-square table above has reached 0.421—explained by variable web quality (X1), accessibility (X2), and perceived ease of use (Z) that gives value 0.421 that could be inferred that the latent dependent variable is 42.1%, while the rest 57.9% is explained by variables outside the research’s limit. Then, the Adjusted R value 0.530 or 53%, which means 47% variation happening in variable Y could be explained by latent dependent variable.

6. Bootstrapping

![Figure 2. Bootstrapping PLS](image)

7. Hypotheses Tests

The significance level (α) of 5% is included in the criteria for hypothesis. The conditions are: (1) if the value of \( t \) count > \( t \) table (1.96), then the hypothesis will be accepted; and (2) if the value of \( t \) count < \( t \) table (1.96), the hypothesis will be rejected. See below for the tests.

**Table 5. Bootstrapping Hypotheses 1 – 7**

| Hypotheses | Variables | Original Sample (O) | Sample Mean (M) | Standard Deviation (STDEV) | Standard Error (STERR) | Statistics (|O/STERR|) |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| H1         | X1 → Z    | -0.070              | -0.138          | 0.37                      | 0.378                  | 0.187           |
| H2         | X2 → Z    | 0.714               | 0.792           | 0.374                     | 0.374                  | 1.908           |
| H3         | X1 → Y    | 1.628               | 1.605           | 0.147                     | 0.147                  | 11.070          |
| H4         | X2 → Y    | -1.181              | -1.148          | 0.185                     | 0.185                  | 6.365           |
| H5         | Z → Y     | 0.146               | 0.139           | 0.116                     | 0.116                  | 1.255           |
| H6         | X1*Z → Y  | 1.361               | 1.333           | 0.170                     | 0.170                  | 8.002           |
| H7         | X2*Z → Y  | -2.605              | -2.460          | 0.780                     | 0.780                  | 3.337           |

Source: PLS

1. The T-statistic of H1 is lower than the t-table (1.96), which means H1 is not significant and is rejected.
2. The T-statistic of H2 is 1.908, and with t-statistic > t-table (5% = 1.96). Therefore, H2 is accepted.
3. The original sample and T-statistics of H3 are 1.628 and 11.070 respectively, thus, H3 is rejected.
4. The original sample and T-statistics of H4 are -1.181 and 6.365 respectively, thus, H3 is accepted.
5. The original sample and T-statistics of H5 are 0.146 and 1.255 respectively, thus, H5 is rejected.
6. The original sample and T-statistics of H6 are 1.361 and 8.002 respectively, thus, H6 is accepted.
7. The original sample and T-statistics of H3 are -2.605 and 3.337 respectively, thus, H7 is accepted

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study shows that:
1. Web Quality given by Bank Jatim Surabaya has not ease the customer in using internet banking.
2. Accessibility is not only related to the ability to use the system, but also the ability of physical access of internet connection.
3. A good Web Quality is the one that could fulfill the customers’ expectation both in the present and future.
4. If accessibility to the bank’s e-banking system is smooth and the transactions done are effortless, then the e-banking adoption will could increase.
5. Bank Jatim’s Perceived Ease of Use has not ease the customer’s workload.
6. Internet banking website with features such as search or user-friendly navigation could help the user in the transaction, which could positively influence the customer in using it more.
7. If the customer could smoothly access the bank’s website and call the bank’s representative whenever there is problem, also if the transactions are easily done, then the customer’s e-banking adoption will could increase.
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