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ABSTRACT

Purpose: The quality of teacher services is an important element related to achieving national education goals and developing Indonesian people as a whole. The initial survey of teacher service quality in the Civil Servants in the High School in the city of Bogor has not yet reached maximum results. Therefore, the quality of teacher services is interesting to study. This study aims to find efforts to improve teacher service quality by examining the relationship of teacher service quality with teacher personality variables and organizational justice.

Design/methodology/approach: The study uses correlational statistical methods and analysis of the sitorem method is conducted. The unit of research analysis was a high school civil servant teacher with a population of 279 and a sample of 103 teachers.

Findings: Analysis Results there are 9 indicators that the condition is good so it remains to be maintained, namely: (1) sincerity (2) enthusiasm (3) consensus (4) interpersonal justice (5) informational justice (6) distributive justice (7) physical appearance (8) guarantee (9) reliability. Components that are the order of priority indicators are improved, namely: (1) stable emotions (2) open to experience (3) procedural fairness (4) responsiveness (5) attention.

Research limitations/implications: The results of the study show there is a positive relationship between the teacher's personality and the quality of teacher services with the strength of the relationship ry1 = 0.421 and there is a positive relationship between organizational justice and the quality of the teacher's service with the strength of the ry2 = 0.297 so that the quality of teacher service can be improved through the personality of the teacher and organizational justice.

Practical implications: To improve the quality of service teachers can pay attention to indicators that are not optimal for recommendations and determine the order of priority improvements that need to be done.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The quality of human resources cannot be separated from the quality of education, where one of the main components is the teacher. Quality schools are closely related to the provision of quality education services. Therefore, a teacher with high qualifications, competence, and dedication is needed in carrying out their professional duties. Teachers of Civil Servants (PNS) are state officials in charge of providing services to the community in a professional, honest, fair, and equitable manner in carrying out state, government, and development tasks.
Service quality is a form of consumer assessment of the level of service received (perceived service) with the level of service expected (expected service). Public trust of education service users is closely related to the quality of service in the school organization. The level of trust is built through the service relationship of the teaching staff, in this case, the teacher, to their students. The quality of teacher service is related to trust, which essentially provides the best service to students, parents, and the surrounding community.

A. Quality of Teacher Service

According to Kotler & Keller, (2009) service quality is the ratio between the quality received (perceived quality) after receiving the expected quality (expected quality). Meanwhile, according to Baines, Fill, & Page, (2011), service quality is the customer’s perception of the difference between the service received compared to the expected service. According to Kabir, (2010), service quality is a model to identify differences between consumer expectations for service and their assessment of the service received,. Service quality is an assessment of how well the level of service provided is following customer expectations.

According to Nagabushanam, (2013), service quality is a term used in business administration which describes the achievement in service on the objective side and the subjective side of the service, namely customer expectations for services received with the ability to provide services by service providers. According to Yosliogu, C, & Omer, (2013), service quality is a comparison between expected service and actual performance. According to Rabaa’i & Gable, (2012) stated that service quality was developed to assess the gap between customer expectations and the level of service quality provided. According to Paul & Donnelly H. James, (2011), service quality is a comparison made by customers between the service received and the service expected.

Based on the theory above, it can be synthesized that the quality of teacher service is the comparison between expected service and actual performance in order to meet customer expectations, especially students. Service quality indicators are divided into five, namely (a) teacher's physical appearance (b) teacher reliability (c) responsiveness (d) teacher assurance (e) teacher attention.

B. Personality

According to Gibson, Ivancevich, & Donnelly, (2015) personality is a set of characteristics, tendencies, and temperaments (relatively stable individuals) that have been significantly shaped by inheritance and by social, cultural, and environmental factors. According to Suzanne, Dowd, & Schneider, (2006) personality is a group of stable characters, tendencies, and temperaments that are formed either from heredity or socio-cultural environmental factors. According to Robert & Kinich Angle, (2007) personality is defined as a combination of physical and mental characteristics of a person who is stable as his identity. According to Jerald & Robert., (2008) personality is a pattern of behavior, thoughts, and emotions of individuals that are unique and relatively stable.

According to Colquitt, Lepine, & Wesson, (2015) teacher personality refers to structures and tendencies in people that explain their distinctive patterns of thought, emotion, and behavior. According to Helriegel & Scolum, (2011) personality is an overall profile or combination of stable psychological attributes, which is a unique trait of a person. According to Crae & Robert, (2012) personality is a dimension of individual differences in the tendency to show consistent patterns of thoughts, feelings, and actions.

Based on the opinions of several experts, personality can be synthesized, which are unique and relatively stable individual characters, behavior patterns, thoughts, and emotions with indicators (a) sincerity (b) open to experience (c) enthusiasm (d) consensus (e) stable emotions.

C. Organizational Justice

Demirel & Yucel, (2013) defines organizational fairness as a perception of people who compare their results and input with others and then assess the equality of this relationship in the form of a ratio. Akanbi, Ayobami, & Onyema, (2013) that organizational justice refers to employees who feel treated fairly by the organization in every aspect. Jerald & Robert., (2008) define that organizational justice is the perception of organizational members towards justice that occurs within the organization.

According to Robbin & Judge., (2006) most employees evaluate how fairly employees are treated by the organization. Susanna, (2006) defines organizational justice as the extent to which employees feel that work procedures, workplace interactions, and work results can be addressed fairly.

Demirel & Yucel, (2013) defines organizational fairness as a perception of people who compare their results and input with others and then assess the equality of this relationship in the form of a ratio. Akanbi, Ayobami, & Onyema, (2013) that organizational justice refers to employees who feel treated fairly by the organization in every aspect. Jerald & Robert., (2008) define that organizational justice is the perception of organizational members towards justice that occurs within the organization.
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(2006) most employees evaluate how fairly employees are treated by the organization. Susanna, (2006) defines organizational justice as the extent to which employees feel that work procedures, workplace interactions, and work results can be addressed fairly. According to Colquitt et al., (2015) organizational justice is an employee assessment of the fairness or fairness of decisions that have been made by the authorities (authorities). According to Gibson et al., (2015) organizational justice is the high and low degree or intensity of a person's feelings for the fair treatment they receive in the workplace. According to Robert & Kinichi Angle, (2007) School, Organizational Justice reflects the extent to which people in the organization feel that they have been treated fairly in their place of work. Based on the opinion of experts, it can be synthesized that organizational justice is a level where an individual feels treated the same or equal in the organization where he works with indicators (a) distributive justice (b) procedural justice (c) informational justice (d) Interpersonal justice.

II. METHODOLOGY

This study uses a quantitative method with a correlation survey model. The independent variable in this study is personality (X1) and organizational justice (X2), while the dependent variable (Y) is the quality of teacher services. The population in this study were state high school civil servant teachers in the city of Bogor. The sample in the study was calculated using the Slovin formula as many as 103 people. The sampling technique uses Multistage Sampling. The first stage is a cluster, the second stage is purposive and the third stage is taking samples at each school which is carried out using a proportional random sampling technique. Quantitative research data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. Inferential statistics using regression-correlation analysis. The data analysis was performed by using the normality test step of the estimation error, the homogeneity test of variance. Determination of the regression equation, the regression equation significance test, regression linearity, and hypothesis testing using the correlation test. The qualitative data analysis process was carried out in two stages, namely the analysis during the data collection process in the field and the analysis after data collection by comparing the data value of each item using the SITOREM method.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. RESULTS

Testing Requirements Analysis

1. Normality Test

The results of the calculation of the normality test of the estimation error (Y-Ŷ1) for the variable of teacher service quality on the teacher personality variable obtained the Lhitung value of 0.060 while the Ltable was 0.080. The normal requirement is Lhitung <Ltabel, thus the standard error of estimation (Y-Ŷ1) for the variable of teacher service quality on teacher personality variables is normally distributed.

The results of the calculation of the normality test of the estimation error (Y-Ŷ2) for the variable of teacher service quality on organizational justice obtained the Lhitung value of 0.070 while the Ltable was 0.080. The normal requirement is Lhitung <Ltabel, thus the standard error of estimation (Y-Ŷ2) for the variable of teacher service quality on organizational justice variables is normally distributed.

2. Homogeneity Test

The homogeneity of the variance of the variable of teacher service quality on the teacher's personality obtained the value of ²count 27.82, while ²table at α = 0.05 was 47.39. Thus the data variance of the variable teacher service quality on the teacher personality variable was declared homogeneous.

The homogeneity of the variance of the variable of teacher service quality on organizational justice obtained the value of count 46.28, while ²table at α = 0.05 was 55.75. Thus the variance of the data on the variable of teacher service quality on the variable of organizational justice is stated to be homogeneous.
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Hypothesis test
The Relationship between Teacher Personality and Quality of Teacher Service

1. The Relationship between Teacher Personality and Quality of Teacher Service
   The correlation between the teacher personality variable (X1) and the service quality variable (Y) is determined by the coefficient of determination (r^2y1). Based on the estimation results, the r^2y1 value is 0.177. These results indicate that 18% of the teacher service quality variable (Y) can be influenced by the teacher personality variable (X1). The relationship resistance of the teacher personality variable (X1) with the teacher service quality variable (Y) is determined by the correlation coefficient r*y of 0.421.
   
   Based on the results of the correlation test, it can be seen that the t count is 5.15 while the t table is 1.98. This shows that the correlation coefficient of the teacher personality variable (X1) with the teacher service quality variable (Y) is significant. Based on the test results it can be concluded that there is a positive relationship between the teacher personality variable (X1) and the teacher service quality variable (Y).

2. The Relationship between Organizational Justice and the Quality of Teacher Services
   The correlation of the organizational justice variable (X2) with the teacher service quality variable (Y) is determined by the coefficient of determination (r^2y2). Based on the estimation results, the r^2y2 value is 0.089. These results indicate that 9% of the teacher service quality variable (Y) can be influenced by the organizational justice variable (X2). The resistance to the relationship between the organizational justice variable (X2) and the teacher service quality variable (Y) is determined by the correlation coefficient r*y of 0.297.
   
   Based on the results of the correlation test, it can be seen that the t count is 3.28 while the t table is 1.98. This shows that the correlation coefficient of the organizational justice variable (X2) with the teacher service quality variable (Y) is significant.
variable (Y) is significant. Based on the test results, it can be concluded that there is a positive relationship between the organizational justice variable (X2) and the teacher service quality variable (Y).

3. The relationship between Teacher Personality and Organizational Justice together with the Quality of Teacher Service

The correlation of teacher personality variables (X1) and organizational justice (X2) together with teacher service quality (Y) is determined by the value of the coefficient of determination (r²y12). Based on the estimation results, the r²y12 value is 0.179. These results indicate that 18% of the teacher service quality variable (Y) can be influenced by the teacher personality variable (X1) and organizational justice (X2). The resistance of the relationship between the teacher personality variable (X1) and organizational justice (X2) together with the teacher service quality variable (Y) is determined by the correlation coefficient r¹y2 of 0.423.

Based on the results of the regression test, it can be seen that Fcount is 7.30 while Ftable is 3.08. This shows that the correlation coefficient of teacher personality (X1) and organizational justice (X2) together with the variable teacher service quality (Y) is significant. There is a positive relationship between teacher personality variables (X1) and organizational justice (X2) together with the variable teacher service quality (Y). Based on the test results, it can be concluded that there is a positive relationship between teacher personality variables (X1) and organizational justice (X2) together with the variable teacher service quality (Y).

4. Partial Correlation Test

Based on the results of the calculation of the first partial correlation test, the partial correlation value of teacher personality (X1) with the quality of teacher service (Y) with control on organizational justice (X2) (ry12) = 0.215 is obtained. Based on the results of the significance test, this value is significant (tₐ = 2.27 > tₐ = 1.98 (α = 0.05). Thus, it can be concluded that the relationship between teacher personality and teacher service quality is not influenced by organizational justice variables.

Based on the results of the calculation of the second partial correlation test, the partial correlation value between organizational justice (X2) and the quality of teacher service (Y) is obtained with the control on teacher personality (X1) (ry12) = 0.084. Based on the results of the significance test, this value is very significant (tₐ = 0.86 < tₐ = 1.98 (α = 0.05). Thus, it can be concluded that the relationship between organizational justice and the quality of teacher service is influenced by the teacher personality variable.

| Table 3: The results of the calculation of the correlation significance test of variable X1 with variable Y with variable X2 as a controller |
|-----------------|---------|---------|
|                  | Trust   | OCB     | Procedural Justice |
|                  | correlation |     | correlation |     | correlation |
|                  | Significance (2-tailed) |     | Significance (2-tailed) |     | Significance (2-tailed) |
|                  | r       | P      | r       | P      | r       | P      |
| Trust            | 1.000   | .757   | .680   |      |         |        |
|                 | 0       | .115   | 1.000  | .680  |         |        |
| OCB              | .797    | .000   | .685   | .000  |         |        |
|                 | .000    | .000   | .000   | .000  |         |        |
| Procedural Justice | .680 | .655   | 1.000  | .680  |         |        |
|                  | .000    | .000   | .000   | .000  |         |        |
|                  | 0       | 0.115  | 0       | 0.115 |         |        |

a. Cells contain zero-order (Pearson) correlations.
B. DISCUSSION

1. The Relationship between Teacher Personality and Quality of Teacher Service

The strength of the relationship between teacher personality and teacher service quality can be seen in the results of the correlation test with a correlation coefficient of 0.421. The diversity that exists in the quality of teacher services is related to the personality of the teacher as seen from the coefficient of determination of 0.177 so that the diversity in the quality of teacher services can be explained by the personality of the teacher with a contribution of 18%. Meanwhile, 82% are influenced by other factors outside the teacher's personality. Personality is the character, behavior pattern, thoughts, and emotions of an individual that is unique and relatively stable. A good teacher personality will improve the quality of teacher services. It can be concluded that there is a positive relationship between teacher personality and teacher service quality, so that teacher personality is an important factor in improving the quality of teacher service.

2. The Relationship between Organizational Justice and Teacher Service Quality

The strength of the relationship between organizational justice and the quality of teacher services can be seen in the results of the correlation test with a correlation coefficient of 0.297. The diversity that exists in the quality of teacher services is related to organizational justice seen from the coefficient of determination of 0.089 so that the diversity that exists in the quality of teacher services can be explained by organizational justice with a contribution of 9%. Meanwhile, 91% is influenced by other factors outside of organizational justice. This is consistent with the statement by Robert & Kinichi Angle, (2007) that organizational justice in schools reflects the extent to which people in the organization feel that they have been treated fairly in their place of work.

Based on these results, organizational justice can affect the quality of teacher services. When someone feels that they are treated fairly and feel comfortable in a work environment, the quality of service will increase. It can be concluded that there is a positive relationship between organizational justice and teacher service quality so that organizational justice is an important factor in improving the quality of teacher services.
3. The relationship between teacher personality and organizational justice together with the quality of teacher services

The strength of the relationship between teacher personality and organizational justice together with the quality of teacher service can be seen in the results of the correlation test with a correlation coefficient of 0.179. The diversity that exists in teacher service quality has a relationship with teacher personality and organizational justice seen from the value of the coefficient of determination of 0.423 so that the diversity that exists in teacher service quality can be explained by teacher personality and organizational justice with a contribution of 18%. Collectively by teacher personality and organizational justice.

Besides, to see how much the strength of the relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable and to get the optimal solution from this study and to optimize each indicator for each research variable was carried out by giving weight to each indicator, based on Scientific Introduction to Research. Operations in the field of Education Management or known as SITOREM (Hardhienata., 2017) as shown in the following figure.

IV. CONCLUSION

The results showed that there was a positive relationship between teacher personality and teacher service quality with the strength of the relationship $r_{y1} = 0.421$ and there was a positive relationship between organizational justice and teacher service quality with the strength of the relationship $r_{y2} = 0.297$. This reflects that improving the quality of teacher services can be done by improving teacher personality and organizational justice. Indicators that have been well maintained or developed and indicators that have not been properly improved. The results of Sitorem's analysis show that the components of teacher personality, organizational justice, and quality of teacher services are found in 9 indicators that are in good condition so that it remains to be maintained, namely: (1) sincerity (2) enthusiasm (3) consensus (4) interpersonal justice (5) justice informational (6) distributive justice (7) physical appearance (8) guarantee (9) reliability. While there are 5 components that still need to be improved, there are 5 components in order of priority for improvement, namely: (1) stable emotions (2) open to experience (3) justice procedural (4) responsiveness (5) attention. Indicators that still need to be improved need attention so that the quality of teacher services will increase.
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