

# The Influence of WOM and Brand Image on Customer Trust and Satisfaction at BANK CENTRAL ASIA (BCA)

Lilik Indrawati, Thyophoida W.S. Panjaitan\*

Universitas Katolik Darma Cendika Surabaya

Corresponding Author: [thyophoida.panjaitan@ukdc.ac.id](mailto:thyophoida.panjaitan@ukdc.ac.id), [lilik.indrawati@ukdc.ac.id](mailto:lilik.indrawati@ukdc.ac.id)

## ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** The purpose of this study was to determine the satisfaction of BCA customers in Surabaya, through Word of Mouth (WOM), Brand Image, and Trust.

**Design/methodology/approach:** The research method used is quantitative research, with 200 respondents, through the distribution of questionnaires to BCA Bank customers in Surabaya. The sampling technique used purposive sampling followed by snowball sampling technique. This study uses a 5 scale likert scale.

**Findings:** The results obtained state that word of mouth (WOM) and brand image have a significant effect on trust, as well as word of mouth (WOM), brand image and trust have a significant effect on BCA Bank customer satisfaction in Surabaya. Trust (T) is a partial mediation variable between BI and satisfaction and between WOM and satisfaction.

**Research limitations/implications:** This research has limitations, namely the distribution of data only in the city of Surabaya.

**Practical implications:** Data processing using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) WarpPLS.

**Originality/value:** This paper is original

**Paper type:** Research paper

**Keyword:** *Word of Mouth; Brand Image; Trust and Satisfaction*

Received: September 13<sup>th</sup>

Revised: December 18<sup>th</sup>

Published: January 31<sup>th</sup>

## I. INTRODUCTION

The economic sector plays a very important role such as trade, industry, and services. This is supported by the existence of banks that play an important role in supporting economic sectors in Indonesia (Rohman, 2023). The increasing number of banks continues to increase, requiring banks to be able to compete to offer various types of services in terms of savings and loans and e-channels. This is because banking competition in Indonesia is increasingly complex, not only competing with banks but also competing with other financial institutions (Defritriady & Sirait, 2018). Banks take a central role, as is the case in Surabaya, banks can be utilised by the community for personal, family, and business institution needs (surabaya.go.id, 2024).

Table 1. Number of Banking Institutions based on Surabaya City Government Data Year 2024

| Banking Type              | Total |
|---------------------------|-------|
| Government Bank           | 6     |
| Regional Development Bank | 2     |

|                           |           |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| <i>Private Banks</i>      | <i>42</i> |
| <i>International Bank</i> | <i>11</i> |
| <i>Total</i>              | <i>61</i> |

Source: *surabaya.go.id*, (2024)

Table 1 provides information that private banks have the highest frequency in Surabaya, which is 68.85% of the total number of banks in Surabaya. Therefore, private banks must be able to compete among other private banks as well as government banks and international banks. One of the things that banks need to pay attention to is the satisfaction of their customers, because customer satisfaction has an impact on company profits. (Vivi et al, 2021). There are several factors of satisfaction with consumers, including: product quality, service quality, wom, price, brand image, trust, promotion, e-wom, e-promotion. (Kadi et al, 2021; Vivi et al, 2021; and Neni et al, 2023) and many other factors. This study uses the variables of wom, brand image, and trust as satisfaction factors. This is in accordance with the results of previous research which states that wom is one of the factors currently needed to satisfy consumers (Maghfiroh, 2019; Dewi & Hariawan, 2022; Rochman et al, 2022). Apart from wom, there is also a brand image which is considered important to satisfy consumers (Hasanah & Octavia, 2022; Behboudi & Hosseini, 2017; Diputra & Yasa, 2021). Other previous researchers also stated that trust or trust in this day and age is needed so that consumers feel satisfied (Behboudi & Hosseini, 2017; Mawey et al., 2018; Diputra & Yasa, 2021). Customer satisfaction needs to be considered, especially if there are similar companies, so that there is competitive competition (Sinaga et al, 2017).

In this study there is also a research gap. Some previous studies state that wom has an effect on customer satisfaction (Maghfiroh, 2019; Itasari et al, 2020; Dewi & Hungarianwan, 2022; Rochman et al, 2022; and Aprilia et al., 2024), but other researchers state that wom has no effect on customer satisfaction (Sinaga et al., 2017; Widyaningtyas & Masreviastuti, 2023). Likewise with brand image, several previous researchers stated that brand image has an effect on customer satisfaction (Behboudi & Hosseini, 2017; Diputra & Yasa, 2021; Putra et al., 2021), but other researchers stated that brand image has no effect on customer satisfaction (Rusmahafi & Wulandari, 2020; and Diendara, 2023). In addition to wom and brand image, previous researchers stated that trust or trust has an effect on satisfaction (Diputra & Yasa, 2021; Putra et al., 2021; and Mahendra & Indriyani, 2018), but other researchers stated that trust has no effect on customer satisfaction (Behboudi & Hosseini, 2017; Mawey et al., 2018; and Meida, 2022).

## **A. Literature Review**

### **1. Consumer Satisfaction**

According to Tjiptono (2014), that customer satisfaction is the level of feeling happy or disappointed from customer expectations before the product or service is used, compared to the product or service after use. Consumer satisfaction is a specific construct and attitude that consumers have after purchase which reflects how much consumers like or dislike the services experienced by consumers (Woodside et al., 1989 in Behboudi & Hosseini, 2017). There are three indicators in measuring customer satisfaction (Indrasari, 2019: 92), namely: conformity to expectations, interest in visiting again (repeat orders), and willingness to recommend.

### **2. Word of Mouth (WOM)**

According to Sumardy et al (2011: 71) in Wijaya & Sujana (2020) Word of Mouth (WOM) is an act of providing information about products or services by consumers to other consumers. Word Of Mouth is a communication that comes from word of mouth regarding the assessment of a product or service personally or in groups with the aim of providing information (Joesyana, 2018). There are 5 indicators in measuring wom (Joesyana, 2018) known as 5T, namely: Talkers, Topics, Tools, Talkingpart and Tracking.

### **3. Brand Image**

Brand Image is a perception of a brand that can be reflected as an association in the minds of consumers (Keller, 1993: 22) in (Hasanah & Oktavia, 2022). Brand Image is a set of brand associations that are formed and embedded in the minds of consumers (Rusmahafi & Wulandari, 2020). There are three indicators in measuring brand image (Indrawati & Pattinama, 2021), namely: easy to remember, easy to recognise, and has a good reputation.


**4. Trust**

Trust is all the knowledge and conclusions that consumers have about objects, their attributes and benefits (Mowen & Minor, 2002: 312). Trust is the willingness of consumers to rely on other parties based on a belief in these other parties (Caturani et al., 2019). There are four indicators in measuring trust (Masman & Wina, 2019 in Indrawati, 2021), namely: pay attention to reputation, feel safe, the services offered meet the requirements, and keep promises.

**II. METHODS**

This research uses a quantitative method approach. The independent variables in this study are word of mouth (X1) and brand image (X2). The dependent variable is customer satisfaction (Y), while the mediating variable is Trust. The sampling technique used in this study is non-probability sampling with purposive sampling followed by snow ball sampling technique. The population in this study were Bank Central Asia (BCA) customers in Surabaya, with sample criteria, first, BCA customers in Surabaya, second, BCA customers have been BCA customers for at least the last 1 year until this research was conducted. The number of respondents was 200 people. The data analysis technique used in this study uses Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) WarpPLS 3.0 and SPSS version 25.

**A. Research model:**



*Figure 1 Research Model*

**B. Hypothesis:**

- H1: Word Of Mouth (WOM) has a significant effect on Trust.
- H2: Brand Image has a significant effect on Trust.
- H3: Word Of Mouth (WOM) has a significant effect on customer satisfaction.
- H4: Brand Image has a significant effect on customer satisfaction.
- H5: Trust has a significant effect on customer satisfaction.

**III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**a. Validity Test**

Based on the results of data processing using SPSS Version 25 on the validity test, the following results were obtained:

*Table 2. Validity Test*

| <i>No</i> | <i>Statement items</i>     | <i>Correlation Coef</i> | <i>Sig Value</i> | <i>Deskription</i> |
|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| <i>1</i>  | <i>Word Of Mouth (WOM)</i> |                         |                  |                    |
|           | <i>WOM 1</i>               | <i>0,795</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>WOM 2</i>               | <i>0,780</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>WOM 3</i>               | <i>0,820</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>WOM 4</i>               | <i>0,821</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>WOM 5</i>               | <i>0,662</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
| <i>2</i>  | <i>Brand Image (BI)</i>    |                         |                  |                    |
|           | <i>BI 1</i>                | <i>0,826</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>BI 2</i>                | <i>0,804</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>BI 3</i>                | <i>0,825</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
| <i>3</i>  | <i>Trust (T)</i>           |                         |                  |                    |
|           | <i>T 1</i>                 | <i>0,696</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>T 2</i>                 | <i>0,781</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>T 3</i>                 | <i>0,773</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>T 4</i>                 | <i>0,780</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
| <i>4</i>  | <i>Kepuasan (K)</i>        |                         |                  |                    |
|           | <i>K 1</i>                 | <i>0,847</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>K 2</i>                 | <i>0,838</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |
|           | <i>K 3</i>                 | <i>0,848</i>            | <i>0,000</i>     | <i>Valid</i>       |

Based on Table 2, the Sig value is  $<0.05$ , so it can be stated that all statement items are valid.

**b. Reliability Test**

Based on the results of data processing using SPSS Version 25 on the reliability test, the results are as follows:


*Tabel 3. Reliability Test*

| No. | Variabel             | Cronbach's Alpha | Deskripti |
|-----|----------------------|------------------|-----------|
| 1   | Word of Mounth (WOM) | 0,798            | Reliabel  |
| 2   | Brand Image          | 0,752            | Reliabel  |
| 3   | Trust                | 0,836            | Reliabel  |
| 4   | Satisfaction         | 0,753            | Reliabel  |

Based on Table 3, the Cronbach's Alpha value > 0.60 is obtained, it can be said that all variables are declared reliable.


**c. Model**

Based on data processing using WarpPLS 6, the structural equation is obtained as follows:



*Figure 2 Research model*


**d. The Direct Effect of WOM on Satisfaction**



*Figure 3. The Direct Effect of WOM on Satisfaction*

Figure 2 provides information that the direct effect of WOM on satisfaction is 0.49 and significant with a value of  $p < 0.01$ . The R2 value is 24% which can be explained by the WOM variant. In Figure 1, it can be explained that wom to trust (T) and trust (T) to satisfaction are significant, and wom to satisfaction is also significant, where the path coefficient of wom to satisfaction is  $0.16 <$  path coefficient on the direct effect of wom to satisfaction  $0.49$ , it can be interpreted that trust is a partial mediating variable. Trust is not the only mediating variable between wom and satisfaction, there are other variables that act as mediating variables.

**e. Direct Effect of Brand Image on Satisfaction**



*Figure 4. Direct Effect of Brand Image on Satisfaction*

Figure 3 provides information that the direct effect of Brand Image (BI) on Satisfaction is 0.60 and significant with a value of  $p < 0.01$ . The R2 value is 36% which can be explained by the BI variant. In Figure 1, it can be explained that BI to T and T to satisfaction are significant, and the BI to satisfaction variable is also significant, where the path coefficient of T to satisfaction is  $0.33 <$  the path coefficient on the direct effect of BI to satisfaction 0.60, it can be interpreted that trust is a partial mediating variable. Trust is not the only mediating variable between BI and satisfaction, there are other variables that act as mediating variables.

**f. Goodness of Fit (GoF)**

Goodness of fit Model to determine the contribution of exogenous variables to endogenous variables. There are 10 criteria in evaluating Goodness of fit Model Based on data processing using WarpPLS 6, the following results are obtained:

*Table 4 Evaluation of Goodness of Fit Indices Criteria*

| No | Model fit and quality indices                          | Kriteria fit  | Hasil       | Keterangan  |
|----|--|---|-------------|-------------|
| 1  | Average path coefficient (APC)                         | $p < 0.05$  | $P < 0.001$ | Significant |
| 2  | Average R-squared (ARS)                                | $p < 0.05$  | $P < 0.001$ | Significant |
| 3  | Average adjusted R-squared (AARS)                      | $p < 0.05$  | $P < 0.001$ | Significant |
| 4  | Average block VIF (AVIF)                               | Acceptable if $\leq 5$ , ideally $\leq 3.3$               | 1.457       | Ideally     |
| 5  | Average full collinearity VIF (AFVIF)                  | Acceptable if $\leq 5$ , ideally $\leq 3.3$               | 1.624       | Ideally     |
| 6  | Tenenhaus GoF (GoF)                                    | Small $\geq 0.1$ , medium $\geq 0.25$ , large $\geq 0.36$ | 0.579       | Large       |
| 7  | Sympson's paradox ratio (SPR)                          | Acceptable if $\geq 0.7$ , ideally = 1                    | 1.000       | Ideally     |
| 8  | R-squared contribution ratio (RSCR)                    | Acceptable if $\geq 0.9$ , ideally = 1                    | 1.000       | Ideally     |
| 9  | Statistical suppression ratio (SSR)                    | Acceptable if $\geq 0.7$                                  | 1.000       | Acceptable  |
| 10 | Nonlinear bivariate causality direction ratio (NLBCDR) | Acceptable if $\geq 0.7$                                  | 1.000       | Acceptable  |

Based on Table 4, it can be interpreted that the 10 Goodness of fit Model criteria all meet the specified criteria.

**g. Hypothesis Testing (Resampling Bootstrapping)**

Hypothesis testing to test whether there is an influence between exogenous variables and endogenous variables. The test criteria state that if the p value  $\leq$  level of significance (alpha = 5%), then it is stated that there is a significant effect of exogenous variables on endogenous variables. Based on the processing results, the results of hypothesis testing can be obtained in table 4 as follows:

*Tabel 5 Hypothesis testing to test*

| <i>Eksogen</i>              | <i>Endogen</i>          | <i>P Value</i>   | <i>Decision</i>    |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| <i>Word Of Mounth (WOM)</i> | <i>Trust (T)</i>        | <i>&lt; 0,01</i> | <i>Significant</i> |
| <i>Brand Image (BI)</i>     | <i>Trust (T)</i>        | <i>&lt; 0,01</i> | <i>Significant</i> |
| <i>Word Of Mounth (WOM)</i> | <i>Satisfaction (K)</i> | <i>&lt; 0,01</i> | <i>Significant</i> |
| <i>Brand Image (BI)</i>     | <i>Satisfaction (K)</i> | <i>&lt; 0,01</i> | <i>Significant</i> |
| <i>Trust (T)</i>            | <i>Satisfaction (K)</i> | <i>&lt; 0,01</i> | <i>Significant</i> |

Based on Table 5, it can be explained as follows:

**1. The Effect of Word of Mounth (WOM) on Trust (T)**

Based on Table 5, a significant value  $<0.05$  is obtained, it can be said that word of mounth has a significant effect on trust. So that the hypothesis that word of mounth has a significant effect on trust is accepted. This means that BCA customers will have more confidence in who tells / talks about BCA, explanations of BCA products, the machines used by BCA, the role of BCA in the community and the supervision that has been carried out by BCA (Joesyana, 2018). The results of this study are in line with the research of Yulianto & Soesanto (2019).

**2. The Effect of Brand Image (BI) on Trust (T)**

Based on Table 5, a significant value  $<0.05$  is obtained, it can be said that brand image has a significant effect on trust. So that the hypothesis that brand image has a significant effect on trust is accepted. This means that BCA customers will have more confidence in the BCA brand which is easy to remember, easy to recognise, and BCA has a good reputation (Indrawati & Pattinama, 2021). The results of this study are in line with the research of Yulianto & Soesanto (2019).

**3. The Effect of Word Of Mounth (WOM) on Satisfaction (K)**

Based on Table 5, a significant value  $<0.05$  is obtained, it can be said that word of mouth has a significant effect on satisfaction. So that the hypothesis that word of mounth has a significant effect on satisfaction is accepted. This means that BCA customers will feel more satisfied from stories / talks about BCA, explanations of BCA products, machines used by BCA, BCA's role in society and supervision that has been carried out by BCA (Joesyana, 2018). The results of this study are in line with the results of research by Aprilia et al., (2024), which states that word of mouth has an effect on satisfaction.

**4. The Effect of Brand Image (BI) on Satisfaction (K)**

Based on Table 5, a significant value  $<0.05$  is obtained, it can be said that brand image has a significant effect on satisfaction. So that the hypothesis that brand image has a significant effect on satisfaction is accepted. This means that BCA bank customers will feel satisfied if they receive fast service, friendly bank employee greetings and a comfortable room atmosphere so that a positive brand image will be formed in the minds of BCA Bank customers (Witama, 2019). The results of this study are in line with the results of Santana's research (2020) which states that brand image has an effect on customer satisfaction.

**5. The Effect Trust (T) on Satisfaction (K)**

Based on Table 5, a significant value  $<0.05$  is obtained, it can be said that trust has a significant effect on satisfaction. So that the hypothesis that trust has a significant effect on satisfaction is accepted. This means that BCA bank customers will feel satisfied if customers pay attention to reputation, feel safe, the services offered

meet the requirements, and keep promises (Masman & Wina, 2019 in Indrawati, 2021). The results of this study are in line with the results of research by Aprilianto et al., (2022) which states that trust has an effect on satisfaction.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The results of the study can be concluded that Word Of Mouth (WOM) and Brand Image have a significant effect on Trust. Word Of Mouth (WOM) and Brand Image have a significant effect on Bank Customer Satisfaction. Trust has a significant effect on Bank Customer Satisfaction. Trust (T) is a partial mediation variable between BI and satisfaction and between WOM and satisfaction, there are still other variables as mediation.

This research can be carried out because there are internal and external parties who provide support to: The Institute for Research and Community Service (LPPM) of Darma Cendika Catholic University which has provided funds to support the implementation of the research.

#### REFERENCES

- Aprilia., Suprani, Y., Hakimah, Y., dan Said, M. (2024). Pengaruh Wom (Word Of Mouth) Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Dimediasi Oleh Kualitas Pelayanan Di Sincera Clinic Palembang. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Multi Data Palembang*. Vol. 13 No. 2 Maret 2024. Hlm 381-386.
- Behboudi, L and Hosseini, S. H. K. (2017). Brand Trust and Image: Effects on Customer Satisfaction. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance* 30(10), p. 1-13.
- Caturani, E., Suseno, Y. D., dan Widajanti, E. (2019). Analisis Pengaruh Kepercayaan Merk Terhadap Loyalitas Konsumen dengan Kepuasan Konsumen Sebagai Variabel Intervening Pada Konsumen Retno Skin Care di Surakarta. *Jurnal Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*, Vol. 13 No. 1 Juni 2019. Hlm 16-26.
- Desfitriady dan Sirait, R. Y. (2018). Pengaruh Citra Merek dan Kepuasan Nasabah Terhadap Loyalitas Nasabah Tabungan BRI Simpedes di PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) TBK Kantor Cabang (Kanca) Cimahi. *Jurnal Indonesia Membangun*, Vol.17, No. 2, Mei-Agustus 2018, halaman 129-147. <https://jurnal.inaba.ac.id>.
- Dewi, N. L dan Hariawan, F. (2022). Pengaruh Word Of Mouth (WOM), Kualitas Produk, dan Kualitas Pelayanan Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Pada Pembelian Handphone di Toko KSC Cellular Mojokerto. *Kournal Of Sustainability Business Research*. Vpl. 3 No. 1 Maret 2022. Hlm. 236-241.
- Diendara, N. (2023). Pengaruh Brand Image, Promosi, dan Kualitas Produk Terhadap Loyalitas Melalui Kepuasan Konsumen Pada Produk Mi Lemonilo. Skripsi, UT-Faculty Of Economic and Business, University Of Jember.
- Diputra, I. GstNgr A. W.M dan Yasa, N. N. K. (2021). The Influence Of Product Quality, Brand Image, Brand Trust On Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty. *American International Journal of Business Management (AIJBM)*. Volume 4, Issue 01(January 2021), PP 25-34 [www.aijbm.com](http://www.aijbm.com).
- Hasanah, U dan Oktavia, D. D. (2022). Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan, Promosi dan Brand Image Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Shopee di Kota Malang. *Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen dan Inovasi Bisnis (JAMIN)*. Vol. 5 No. 1, Agustus 2022. <http://jurnal.stiekma.ac.id/index.php/JAMIN>.
- Indrasari, M. (2019). Pemasaran dan Kepuasan Pelanggan, Penerbit Unitomo Press, Surabaya.
- Indrawati, L. (2021). Customer Loyalty Of Using Digital Payment During The Covid-19 Pandemic In Indonesia. *Selangor Business Review*. Vol, 6, No. 2, p. 1-16. <http://sbr.journals.unisel.edu.my/ojs/index.php/sbr.1>.
- Indrawati, L dan Pattinama, M. M. (2021). Brand Image, Kualitas Pelayanan, dan Kepuasan Konsumen Di Dalam Pengaruhnya Terhadap Minat Ulang Penggunaan Aplikasi Dana. *Jurnal Bisnis Manajemen*. Vol. 8, No. 1, hlm. 16- 21. <http://jurnal.unmer.ac.id/index.php/jbm>.
- Itasari, A. A., Hastuti, N. H., dan Supriyadi, A. (2020). Pengaruh Word Of Mouth, Electronic Word Of Mout dan Kuaitas Pelayanan Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan. *Journal Of Communication*. Vol. 5, No. 2, Desember 2020. Hlm. 259-271. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21111/ejoc.v5i2.5069>.
- Joesyiana, K. (2018). Pengaruh Word Of Mouth Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Konsumen Pada Media Online Shop Shopee Di Pekanbaru. *Jurnal Valuta*. Vol. 4 No. 1, April 2018. Hlm. 71-85.
- Kadi, Dian C. A., Purwanto, H., dan Ramadani, L. D. (2021). Pengaruh E-Promotion, E-WOM dan Lokasi Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Melalui Keputusan Pembelian Sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Jurnal Management and Business Review*, 5(2). Page 224-238. Available at <https://ejournal.unikama.ac.id/index.php/mbr>.



- Maghfiroh, K. (2019). Pengaruh Harga, Kualitas Produk, dan Word Of Mouth Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Serta Implikasinya Pada Keputusan Pembelian Smartphone Xiaomi. *Business Management Analysis Journal (BMAJ)*. Vol.2 No. 2 Oktober 2019. Hl, 34-44.
- Mahendra, K. P dan Indriyani, R. (2018). Pengaruh Kepercayaan Pelanggan Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan CV Mitra Perkasa Utomo. *Jurnal AGORA* Vol. 7 No. 1.
- Mawey, T. C., Tumbel, A. L., dan Ogi, I. W. J. (2018). Pengaruh Kepercayaan dan Kualitas Layanan Terhadap Kepuasan Nasabah PT Bank SULUTGO. *Jurnal EMBA*. Vol. 6 No. 3 Juli 2028. Hlm. 1198-1207.
- Meida, F., Astuti, M., dan Nastiti, H. (2022). Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan dan Kepercayaan Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan E-Commerce Sociolla di Era Pandemic Covid-19. *Jurnal IKRAITH-Ekonomika*. Vol. 5 No. 2 Juli 2022. Hlm. 157-166.
- Mowen, J. C dan Minor, M. (2002). *Perilaku Konsumen*, Jilid 1, Edisi Kelima. Penerbit Erlangga: Jakarta.
- Neni., Ridwan., dan Nurani, S.T. (2023). Pengaruh Citra Merek, Kepercayaan dan Promosi Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Pada PT Cipta Karya Technology Makassar XLHome. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Akuntansi* Vol.1 No. 2. Halaman 161-170.
- Pemerintah Kota Surabaya. (2024) <https://surabaya.go.id/id/page/0/8177/sosial-ekonomi>. Diakses 29 Juni 2024, pukul 21:47.
- Putra, F., Supriyatin., dan Sakti, I. (2021). Pengaruh Kepercayaan, Kualitas Pelayanan, dan Citra terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan. *Jurnal Manajemen STEI* Vol.04 No. 01, Maret 2021. Hlm. 1-23.
- Putri, N.C., and L. Indrawati (2023). EWOM, Price, and Service Quality Impact on the Decision to Stay at Hotel. *Proceeding Medan International Conference Economics and Business* Volume 1, Year 2023. E-ISSN 2985-7910.
- Rochman, A. S., Gustomi, M.P., Rusdiyanto., Syafii, M., dan Ulum, B. (2022). Word Of Mouth dan Kualitas Pelayanan Berdampak Pada Kepuasan Konsumen pada Usaha Kecil Menengah Gresik. *Jurnal Kewarganegaraan*. Vol. 6 No. 1 Juni 2022. Hlm. 2461-2472.
- Rohman, A. (2023). Peran Perbankan dalam Prekonomian Indonesia Saat Ini. <https://feb.ub.ac.id/peran-perbankan-dalam-perekonomian-indonesia-saat-ini/> Diakses 29 Juni 2024, pukul 21:14.
- Rusmahafi, F. A dan Wulandari, R. (2020). The Effect of Brand Image, Service Quality, and Customer Value on Customer Satisfaction. *International Review of Management and Marketing* 10(4), p. 68-77. 68.
- Santana, A., 2020, Pengaruh Brand Image Terhadap Kepuasan dan Loyalitas Pelanggan Pada PT. BRAND X DI JAKARTA, *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis Dan Kewirausahaan*, Vol. 4 No.4, PP. 150-155.
- Sinaga, O. S., Irianto, H., dan Widiyani, E. (2017). Pengaruh Word Of Mouth Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian dan Kepuasan Konsumen. *Jurnal AGRISTA*. Vol. 5 No. 1 Maret 2017. Hlm. 79-88.
- Tjiptono, F. (2014), *Pemasaran Jasa: Prinsip, Penerapan, dan Penelitian*. Yogyakarta: Andi Offset.
- Vivi, O., Widiastuti, T., dan Suhaji. (2021). Faktor-Faktor yang Memengaruhi Kepuasan Nasabah (Studi Kasus Pada Nasabah PT. Asuransi Sequis Life Semarang). *Jurnal Ilmiah Aset*, Vol. 23. No.Cipta Karya Technology Makassar XLH1. Halaman 53-62.
- Widyaningtyas, O dan Masreviastuti. (2023). Pengaruh Word Of Mouth (WOM) dan Kualitas Pelayanan Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan dalam Menggunakan Jasa Cuci Sepatu di Sebelum Melangkah Kabupaten Malang. *Jurnal Aplikasi Bisnis*. Vol. 9 No. 2, Desember 2023. Hlm. 7-12.
- Wijaya, F dan Sujana. (2020). Pengaruh Kualitas Layanan dan Persepsi Harga Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan Serta Dampaknya Terhadap Word Of Mouth. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pariwisata Kesatuan (JIPKES)* Vol. 1 No.1, 2020. Hlm. 9-18.
- Witama, A., 2019, Pengaruh Brand Image, Persepsi Harga dan Kualitas Pelayanan Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan, *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis Dan Kewirausahaan*, Vol.3 No.5, PP. 49-55
- Yulianto, M. R dan Soesanto, H. (2019). Analisis Pengaruh Electronic Word of Mouth Terhadap Kepercayaan dan Citra Merek Serta Dampaknya Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian (Studi pada konsumen Lazada.co.id di Semarang). *Diponegoro Journal of Management*, Vol.8, No. 3, Tahun 2029. Hlm 1-9. <http://ejournal-s1.undip.ac.id/index.php/djom> ISSN (Online): 2337-3792.
- Aprilianto, B., Welsa, H., dan Udayana, I. B. N. (2022). Pengaruhi *Commitment, Trust* Terhadap *Customer Satisfaction* dan *Customer Loyalty* ( Studi Kasus Pada Bento Kopi Yogyakarta). *Jurnal Widya Manajemen*, Vol. 4, No. 1, hl, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.32795/widyamanajemen.v4i1>.